

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 226 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 18.5.2018

Jaspreet Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Inderpreet Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate,
for petitioner.

Respondent in person.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

..-

..-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply filed in Court today and same is taken on record.

Respondent has filed petition under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Inderpreet Singh Versus Jaspreet Kaur*, which is pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Ambala. Petitioner seeks transfer of said petition to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Patiala.

Respondent has stated in reply that distance between Ambala and Patiala is only 50 kilometers. Respondent has not denied the fact that two other cases between the parties are already pending at Patiala Courts. Therefore, it is deemed proper that all the cases pending between the parties are tried at one place. As such, petition filed by respondent under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Inderpreet Singh Versus Jaspreet Kaur*, pending in the Court of learned Additional District

TA No. 226 of 2018 (O/M)

Judge, Ambala, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to Court of learned District Judge, Patiala, who may retain the case himself or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed.

Since respondent is stating that he has no money to engage a counsel at Patiala Courts, therefore, District Legal Service Authority, Patiala, is directed to provide a free legal aid counsel to respondent.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No