

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 787 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 5.4.2018

Kuldeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Lokesh Vohra, Advocate, for,
Mr. Harish Goyal, Advocate,
for petitioner.

Mr. Sanyam Malhotra, Advocate,
for respondent No. 1.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned proxy counsel appearing for learned counsel for petitioner states that parties have effected compromise, therefore, he withdraws present revision petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn. Since main case has been dismissed as withdrawn, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

5.4.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No