

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No. 215-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 30.5.2018**

Kritika Garg	 Petitioner
Versus	
Ambrish Raja Garg	 Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Arun K. Vasudeva, Advocate for
Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

No one is present on behalf of the respondent nor any reply has been filed on his behalf. Hence, proceeded against ex-parte.

Heard.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. HMA 18/2018 titled as **“Dr. Ambrish Raja Garg versus Kritika Garg”** pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Bathinda, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that she is having one female child and the distance between Mohali Courts and Bathinda Courts is more than 250 Kms and he further states that one case between the parties is already pending at Bathinda Courts.

From the copy of the petition attached with the paper book, it comes out that parties last resided at Mohali as husband and wife, therefore, most of the witnesses belong to Mohali. It is a civil case and petitioner is not required to appear on each and every date of hearing and now traveling from Bathinda to Mohali has become easier on account of opening of four lane National Highway.

In view of the fact that parties last resided at Mohali; and considering the convenience of the witnesses as they also belong to Mohali, there no ground to transfer the petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. HMA 18/2018 titled as **“Dr. Ambrish Raja Garg versus Kritika Garg”** pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Bathinda, Punjab.

With the above noted observation, petition stands dismissed.

Since the main petition is dismissed, the miscellaneous application pending, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

30.5.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No