

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 774 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 28.3.2018

Poonam Petitioner

Versus

Ravinder Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for petitioner.

Mr. T.C. Dhanwal, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply not filed.

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition titled as *Ravinder Versus Poonam*, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Bhiwani, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Rohtak. It comes out that petition under Section 7 of the Guardians and Wards Act, 1890, and a petition under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, are already pending between the parties at Rohtak Courts.

In order to ensure that all the cases pending between the parties are tried at one place, petition titled as *Ravinder Versus Poonam*, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Bhiwani, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Rohtak, who may retain the case with

TA No. 774 of 2017 (O/M)

him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main petition has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

28.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No