

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

T.A. No. 210-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 8.5.2018

Rajwinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Deepak Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gagandeep Singh Simble, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

As per office report, notice issued to respondent has been received back with the report '*served through father*'. But no representation has been made on behalf of respondent. Hence, proceeded against ex-parte.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case titled as **“Deepak Singh versus Rajwinder Kaur”** (Annexure P-1) pending in the Court of Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ajnala to the Court of Additional Civil Judge, Batala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that two cases between the parties are already pending at Batala Courts and it is difficult for her to travel from Batala to pursue her case at Ajnala Courts.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner; the fact that none has appeared to oppose the petition and in order to ensure that all the cases pending between the parties should be tried at one place, petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case titled as **“Deepak Singh versus Rajwinder Kaur”** (Annexure P-1) pending in the Court of Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ajnala is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Batala for disposal.

In view of above, petition stands allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.5.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No