

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 768 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 3.4.2018

Anita

..... Petitioner

Versus

Deepak Yadav

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Tapan Yadav, Advocate, for petitioner.

Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply filed in Court today and same is taken on record.

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition i.e. 642/HMA, dated 15.7.2017, titled as *Deepak Yadav Versus Anita*, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Hisar, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Narnaul, District Mahendergarh.

The learned counsel for respondent states that he has no objection if the case is transferred from the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Hisar, to the Dadri Courts which is otherwise nearer to the village of petitioner.

Considering the difficulty expressed by petitioner, petition i.e. 642/HMA, dated 15.7.2017, titled as *Deepak Yadav Versus Anita*, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Hisar, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Dadri, who may retain the case with him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is disposed of. Since main case has been disposed of, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.4.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No