

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**TA-766-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.01.2018**

Reena Rani

.....Petitioner

versus

Rajnish Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner
None for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Respondent not appeared despite service through mother.

Petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 filed by the wife is pending at Kaithal Courts. Now, husband has filed a petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, which is pending in the Court of District Judge, Family Court, Karnal. It is deemed proper that both the cases should be tried and decided together by one Court.

Therefore, the petition bearing HMA /569/2017 filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Rajnish Kumar vs. Reena Devi* pending before the Court of District Judge, Family Court, Karnal is withdrawn from the said Court and is transferred to learned District Judge, Kaithal, who will entrust the case to the same Court where the divorce petition is pending.

Present petition stands allowed accordingly.

25.01.2018
gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No