

217
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No. 202-2018
Date of decision : 8.5.2018

Parvinder Kaur **Petitioner**
Versus
Shinder Singh and another **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ashish Bakshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navkesh Singh Goraya, Advocate for the respondent.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply dated 8.5.2018 on behalf of respondents has been filed in Court today. The same is taken on record. As per the voter list attached, petitioner is the resident of District Moga.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 11 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. HMA/369/2016 titled as **“Shinder Singh versus Parvinder Kaur and other”** pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Moga to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Ludhiana.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that four cases between the parties are already pending at Ludhiana Courts. He further concedes that in the Aadhar Card, the address given is of Moga but petitioner is presently residing with her sister at Ludhiana.

I am of the view that petitioner is shown to be the permanent resident of Moga in the Aadhar Card as well as in the Voter List and the fact that petitioner is living with her sister is no ground to transfer the petition filed under Section 11 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. HMA/369/2016 titled as **“Shinder Singh versus Parvinder Kaur and *other*”** pending in the Court of Additional District Judge, Moga to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Ludhiana.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.5.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No