

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 759 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 1.3.2018

Sanjay Aggarwal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Chander Bhan Mahavir Pd. HUF

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Nishath Bansal, Advocate, for,
Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate,
for petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned proxy counsel appearing for learned counsel for petitioner states that the civil miscellaneous application pending before the trial Court has since been decided. Therefore, present petition has become infructuous. Therefore, he withdraws the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No