

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 194 of 2018 (O/M)

Date of decision : 7.5.2018

Kavita

..... Petitioner

Versus

Joginder Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Amanpreet Kaur Sabharwal, Advocate, for,
Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate,
for petitioner.
Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate,
for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has appeared.

It comes out that petitioner is permanently residing in district Rohtak. However, she is now stated to be working as an Accountant in a company at Gurugram. It is also not denied on behalf of petitioner that respondent is working at Kapurthala. Present petition i.e. petition No. DMC 20/18 titled as *Joginder Versus Kavita*, under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, is pending at Rohtak Courts. Therefore, difficulty is for both the parties. Rohtak is the convenient place which is native place of petitioner and respondent. It being so, there is no ground to transfer petition titled as *Joginder Versus Kavita*, under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending at Rohtak Courts. Consequently, petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.5.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether Reportable : Yes / No