

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.191 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 06, 2018**

Sucha Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ajmer Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Paramjit Batta, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of following cases pending between the parties from Samrala Courts, District Ludhiana to Chandigarh Courts or some other Court of competent jurisdiction in Haryana around Chandigarh.

- 1.Civil Suit No.332 of 2005, Ajmer Singh Dhillon vs Sucha Singh
2. Civil Suit No.333 of 2005, Ajmer Singh Dhillon vs Sucha Singh
3. Civil Suit No.160 of 2006, Sucha Singh vs Ajmer Singh Dhillon
4. Civil Suit No.568 of 2006, Sucha Singh vs Jaswant Singh & Ors.
5. CMA No.32 of 2015, Sucha Singh vs Ajmer Singh Dhillon
6. Complaint No.182 of 2007, Sucha Singh vs Amarjit Singh
7. Complaint No.51 of 2013, Sucha Singh vs Amarjit Singh and Ors

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the Judicial Officer is acting arbitrary. The petitioner has filed a complaint against him and that relation of one M.P. of Ludhiana are on the opposite side and are influencing the decision rendered by the Court.

Heard.

I am of the view that the civil suits are pending since the years 2005-2006. For all these 12-13 years, the petitioner had no complaint. Suddenly, he has come up with the complaint. He has filed complaint against the Judicial Officer, which will be examined and decided on merits on administrative side. A criminal complaint is pending since the year 2007. All the cases are not pending in one Court. It is stated that two cases are pending in other Courts. Merely for the satisfaction of the petitioner, the aforesaid cases cannot be transferred from the Courts of State of Punjab.

However, if the petitioner feels that the matter is being unnecessarily delayed, an appropriate direction can be issued to the Court. For the adverse orders passed against the petitioner, the same can be challenged before the superior Court.

It being so, I do not find any ground to transfer the aforesaid cases from Samrala Courts, District Ludhiana, to the Courts of competent jurisdiction in Haryana around Chandigarh.

As such, the present petition is dismissed. The pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

However, the concerned Courts at Samrala are directed to expedite the disposal of the cases, which have become 12-13 years old by holding day to day proceedings.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 06, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No