

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.748 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 02, 2018**

Kavita **Petitioner**

Versus

Rohit Kumar **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhupinder Kaur, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of a divorce petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “**Rohit Kumar vs Kavita**”, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Ludhiana, to the court of learned District Judge, Jind.

It is stated that two other cases are already pending between the parties at Narwana Courts, District Jind.

On behalf of the respondent, it is stated that once the respondent and his family members were attacked in Ludhiana. Therefore, the case may be shifted to a place between Jind and Ludhiana.

I am of the view that respondent is already facing two cases at Narwana Courts, District Jind and there is no complaint that he has ever attacked at Narwana. The distance between Jind and Narwana is approximately 35 Kms. Therefore, the apprehension of the respondent is groundless.

Consequently, the divorce petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Rohit Kumar vs Kavita”***, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Ludhiana, is withdrawn from the said court and transferred to the court of learned District Judge, Jind, who may retain the case himself/herself or entrust it to a court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 02, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No