

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.746 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 07, 2018**

Satnam Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sarup Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Meena Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Lalit Garg, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of a civil suit No.190, dated 13.07.2016 for damages/compensation on account of malicious prosecution, titled as “*Sarup Singh vs Smt. Satnam Kaur*”, pending in the Court of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Rajpura to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Ludhiana.

Heard.

It comes out that earlier also, respondent, who happens to be Ex. Assistant Sub Inspector in police, had filed two suits for malicious prosecution, which were dismissed in the year 2004 and 2013 respectively. One of the case was transferred by this Court to Ludhiana Courts, vide order dated 24.02.2009 passed in TA No.237 of 2008.

Learned counsel for the respondent has stated that the petitioner has filed number of complaints against the respondent, her husband, Advocates and Judicial Officers.

Respondent is otherwise brother of the husband of the petitioner and some litigation between the petitioner and her husband are also pending. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances, the civil suit No.190, dated 13.07.2016 for damages/compensation on account of malicious prosecution, titled as ***“Sarup Singh vs Smt. Satnam Kaur”***, pending in the Court of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Rajpura, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Khanna, District Ludhiana.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 07, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No