

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No. 745-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 8.5.2018

Anu

..... Petitioner

Versus

Nishant Chopra

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

As per office report, respondent has been served through counsel before the trial Court but no representation has been made on behalf of respondent. Hence, proceeded against ex-parte.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 13 (ia) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. 651 of 2016 titled as **“Nishant Chopra versus Anu”** pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Ambala to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the previous litigation which took place at Kurukshetra Courts and was compromised. It is stated that the petitioner is residing at Kurukshetra and it is difficult for her to go to Ambala Courts.

I am of the view that there is not much distance between Ambala and Kurukshetra, the witnesses of the case belong to Ambala and the transfer of case will also causing inconvenience to the witnesses.

It being so, no ground to transfer petition is made out.

Dismissed.

It is ordered that in case the petitioner appears in person before the Ambala Courts she will be paid Rs. 2,000/- on each date of hearing as expenses.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.5.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No