

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-184-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.05.2018

Meena Rani

.....Petitioner

versus

Pushpinder Tripathi

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.B.S.Khehar, Advocate for the petitioner
None for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Respondent has not appeared despite being served through father. He is proceeded against ex-parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition bearing no.HMA/986/2017 filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Pushpiner Tripathi vs. Smt.Meena Rani* pending in the Court of learned District Judge (Family Court), Karnal to a Court of competent jurisdiction at District Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has got seven months old child and one petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. is already pending at Chandigarh Courts. Father of the petitioner has already died. She is living with her maternal uncle. She cannot go from Chandigarh to Karnal to pursue her case.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner, petition

bearing no.HMA/986/2017 filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Pushpiner Tripathi vs. Smt.Meena Rani* pending in the Court of learned District Judge (Family Court), Karnal is withdrawn from the said Court and is transferred to learned District Judge, Chandigarh, who may retain the same himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

Present petition stands allowed accordingly.

23.05.2018

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No