

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 740 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 15.5.2018

Sandeep Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Mandeep Singh Minhas

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.K. Chauhan, Advocate,
for petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate,
for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition, i.e. petition No. HMA 1459/2016, titled as *Mandeep Singh Minhas Versus Sandeep Kaur*, filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Jalandhar, (NRI Court), to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Hoshiarpur.

The learned counsel for petitioner states that earlier respondent filed petition for divorce, but when maintenance application was filed, he did not appear. Respondent is residing in Canada. Petitioner is residing in VPO Paldi, Tehsil Garh Shankar, District Hoshiarpur, which is at a distance of 25 kilometers from Hoshiarpur Courts and 65 kilometers from the Jalandhar Courts.

TA No. 740 of 2017 (O/M)

Considering that in either case, petitioner has to go from Village Paldi to Hoshiarpur Courts or Jalandhar Courts, there is no ground to transfer the case. However, for attending the proceedings at Jalandhar Courts, petitioner can be paid travelling expenses. As such, petition is dismissed. Whenever, petitioner appears in person to pursue her case at Jalandhar Courts, respondent will pay her Rs. 2,000/- as travelling expenses. It is made clear that if said amount is not paid to petitioner, petition of respondent will be dismissed for not complying with the order of this Court.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

15.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No