

202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 737 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 21.3.2018

Richa Jangra

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kuldeep Jangra

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Panghal, Advocate, for petitioner.

None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard. The learned counsel for respondent has circulated an adjournment slip mentioning that he has informed the learned counsel for petitioner. However, the learned counsel for petitioner states that he has declined to said request stating that it is a routine matter. Since respondent has not appeared, therefore, this Court has considered the case and decided to proceed with the matter.

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, bearing No. 644 of 2017 titled as *Kuldeep Jangra Versus Richa Jangra*, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Karnal, to the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Bhiwani. It is stated that two cases between the parties are already pending at Bhiwani Court.

Considering the difficulty expressed by petitioner and keeping in view the fact that two cases are already pending at Bhiwani Courts, petition filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, bearing No. 644 of 2017 titled as *Kuldeep Jangra Versus Richa Jangra*, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Karnal, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Bhiwani. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since the main petition is allowed, therefore, pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.3.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether Reportable : Yes / No