

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 730 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 25.1.2018

Sandeepika Jain

..... Petitioner

Versus

Suman Jain

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Sangeeta Srivastava, Advocate, for,
Ms. Aditi Girdhar, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel),
for petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Sonak, Advocate, for,
Mr. A.S. Sekhon, Advocate,
for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has appeared. The learned counsel for respondent admits that two cases between the parties are pending at Faridkot Courts.

It is deemed proper that two cases pending between the parties should be decided at one place. Accordingly, petition filed under Section 13 (1) (i) (a) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Suman Jain Versus Sandeepika Jain*, pending in the Court of Additional District Judge, Amritsar, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Faridkot, who may try the case himself or entrust it to Court of competent jurisdiction.

Instant petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

25.1.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No