

212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 161 of 2018 (O/M)

Date of decision : 23.5.2018

Niti Dagar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Akhilesh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.S. Verma, Advocate,
for petitioner.
Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate,
for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition, titled as *Akhilesh Versus Neeti Dagar*, filed under Section 13 (1) (ia) (ib) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in Court of learned District Judge, Jhajjar, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Charkhi Dadri.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that two cases between parties are already pending at Charkhi Dadri Courts. Petitioner has got five years old child. Rather respondent is a practising lawyer at Jhajjar Courts and no lawyer is willing to appear on her behalf. Transfer of petition has been opposed by learned counsel for respondent.

Considering the circumstances of case, petition, titled as *Akhilesh Versus Neeti Dagar*, filed under Section 13 (1) (ia) (ib) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in Court of learned District Judge, Jhajjar, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to Court of learned District Judge, Charkhi Dadri, who may retain the case himself or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

23.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes / No