

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No. 715-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 18.04.2018

Poonam

..... Petitioner

Versus

Amit Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vijay S. Kajla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Jain, Advocate for the respondent.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. HMA/109/2017 titled as “**Amit Kumar versus Poonam**” (Annexure A-3) pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Bathinda to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that two cases between the parties are already pending at Hisar.

Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection in transfer of the case. However, he requests that in all the cases same date may be given so that it may be convenient for the parties to pursue all the three cases together.

It being so, petition bearing case No. HMA/109/2017 titled as **“Amit Kumar versus Poonam”**, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Bathinda is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Hisar for disposal. Learned Civil Judge (Senior Division) Hisar is directed to accommodate the petitioner-respondent herein so as to ensure that in all the three cases same date is given to the parties.

In view of above, petition stands allowed.

Since, main petition is allowed, the miscellaneous application pending, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.04.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No