

**101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.713 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 18, 2018**

Ritika Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kewal Krishan and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Anupama Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that respondent No.1, who is father-in-law of the petitioner filed a suit for declaration and permanent injunction at Jalandhar Courts and seeks transfer of civil suit No.642/2017, titled as “*Kewal Krishan vs Naveen Sharma and another*”, pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Jalandhar to a competent Court at Amritsar on the ground that it is difficult for her to defend the suit.

A perusal of the plaint shows that the suit is for declaration and permanent injunction that the plaintiff and her husband have no concern with the property claimed to be ownership of the plaintiff- father-in-law. Permanent injunction was also sought against them from interfering the peaceful possession of the plaintiff over the said property. The property is situated at Jalandhar. The ordinary place of trial therefore, is at Jalandhar. Merely, because one of the defendants, namely, Ritika Sharma

(present petitioner), claimed that she has difficulty to defend the suit, is no ground to transfer the same.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 18, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No