

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 695 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 28.2.2018

Meenakshi Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

Honey Nagar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate, for petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Sabharwal, Advocate, for,
Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition filed under Section 13(1)(i) (ia) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, tilted as *Honey Nagar Versus Meenakshi Rani*, from the Court of the learned Additional District Judge, Ambala, to a competent Court of jurisdiction at Bathinda.

The learned counsel for petitioner states that two other cases between the parties are already pending at Bathinda Courts. It is difficult for petitioner to go from Bathinda to Ambala which is approximately 200 kilometers away.

Considering the difficulty expressed by petitioner, petition filed under Section 13(1)(i)(ia) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, tilted as *Honey Nagar Versus Meenakshi Rani*, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Ambala, is withdrawn from the said Court and same is

TA No. 695 of 2017 (O/M)

transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Bathinda, who may retain the case with him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

Consequently, instant petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

28.2.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No