

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.13 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 27, 2018**

Rozy Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Kochhar Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sourabh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has been served through his counsel before the trial Court but he has reported that notice should be directly send to the parties. The notice to the respondent through his counsel is deemed to be sufficient service.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition bearing No.HMA/104/2017, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Vikas Kochhar vs Rozy*”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Patti, District Tarn Taran to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Amritsar.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has one minor child and she has already filed a divorce petition at Amritsar Courts and she is unable to travel from Amritsar to Patti to pursue her case.

I am of the view that the divorce petition and the present petition should be tried at one place. Therefore, the petition bearing

No.HMA/104/2017, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Vikas Kochhar vs Rozy”***, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Patti, District Tarn Taran, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Amritsar, who shall entrust the same to the Court, where the divorce petition between the parties is pending

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 27, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No