Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2018.02.02 16:28
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 680 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 29.1.2018

Geeta ..... Petitioner

Versus

Prem Chand ..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate, for petitioner.
None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The learned counsel for petitioner states that out of three children, two daughters, one of which is minor, is staying with petitioner. It is stated that earlier also, respondent filed a petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, at Chandigarh Courts, in which present petitioner could not appear and was proceeded against ex parte. Even now, she is not able to contest the present case for want of funds.

Considering the difficulty expressed by petitioner, petition fild under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Prem Chand Versus Geeta*, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Chandigarh, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Panipat, who may retain the case himself or entrust the same to any other Court of competent jurisdiction. Instant petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

29.1.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No