

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

T.A. No.654 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 17, 2018

Parveen Kumari

..... Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Vikas Kumar vs Parveen Kumari*”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.)-I, Jalandhar to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Chandigarh.

It is stated that the petitioner belongs to Chandigarh. She has difficulty in attending and pursuing the case at Jalandhar Courts.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Vikas Kumar vs Parveen Kumari*”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.)-I, Jalandhar, is withdrawn from the said court and transferred to the court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Chandigarh.

As such, present petition is allowed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 17, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No