

204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 645 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 12.1.2018

Garima

..... Petitioner

Versus

Gaurav Chopra

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Thind, Advocate, for petitioner.

Mr. Binderjit Singh, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply alongwith annexures, on behalf of respondent, filed in Court today and same is taken on record.

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition titled as *Gaurav Chopra Versus Garima*, filed by respondent under 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, from Bathinda Courts to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Patiala, on the ground that petition filed by her under Sections 12, 18 to 23 and 23 (2) of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, is pending at Patiala Courts.

It comes out that petitioner is serving at New Delhi. She claims that her mother is residing at Patiala. Therefore, petition under Sections 12, 18 to 23 and 23 (2) of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, has been filed at Patiala Courts.

I am of the view that once petitioner is serving at New Delhi and if she can go to Patiala Courts, she can go to Bathinda Courts also. There is no ground to transfer the case from Bathinda Courts to Patiala Courts. Petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.1.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No