

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 111 of 2018 (O/M)

Date of decision : 9.2.2018

Smt. Priya Swami

..... Petitioner

Versus

Gaurav Tandon

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate, for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Earlier present petition was transferred from Chandigarh Courts to Rohtak Courts on the plea of wife. Now, it is stated that her father, who was earlier working at Rohtak, has retired and shifted to Panchkula, therefore, the case be transferred back either to Panchkula Courts or to Chandigarh Courts. It is contended that respondent is also a resident of Chandigarh.

I am of the view that petitioner has already availed the remedy and got the case transferred to Rohtak Courts. It is not that wherever petitioner goes, the case should follow her. Therefore, instant transfer petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

9.2.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether Reportable : Yes / No