

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-643-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.01.2018

Reena

.....Petitioner

versus

Dalip Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Ms.Naina Issar, Advocate for
Mr.Siddharth Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner
None for the respondent

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

None has appeared for the respondent nor any reply has been filed.

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition bearing no.DMC/230/2017 filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Dalip Kumar vs. Reena* pending before the Court of District Judge, Family Court, Bhiwani to a Court of competent jurisdiction at District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that two other cases between the parties are also pending in Bathinda Courts. Petitioner being lady has great difficulty in going from Bathinda to Bhiwani. Respondent has not file any reply to oppose said prayer.

As such, petition bearing no.DMC/230/2017 filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Dalip*

Kumar vs. Reena pending before the Court of District Judge, Family Court, Bhiwani is withdrawn from the said Court and is transferred to learned District Judge, Bathinda, who may retain file himself or entrust it to the Court of competent jurisdiction.

Present petition stands allowed accordingly.

24.01.2018

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No