

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.11 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 25, 2018**

Neeru Bala

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jaspal Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vikram Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent is served through his father but has not come present. He is proceeded against ex parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition bearing HMA No.86 of 2017, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Jaspal Singh vs Neeru Bala”***, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Chandigarh.

It is stated that 1½ years child is living with the petitioner at Chandigarh and it is difficult for her to travel from Chandigarh to Dasuya Courts to pursue her case. One complaint under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 between the parties is pending at Chandigarh Courts.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner and the fact that the respondent has not come present to oppose the petition, the petition bearing HMA No.86 of 2017, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu

Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “***Jaspal Singh vs Neeru Bala***”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Chandigarh, who may try the case himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 25, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No