

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.632 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 07, 2018**

Prerna Aggarwal and another Petitioners

Versus

Aseem Bindal Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Toor and Ms. Ravinder Gill, Advocates
for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners seek transfer of a suit No.CS/428/17, for mandatory injunction filed by the respondent, titled as “*Aseem Bindal vs Prerna Aggarwal and Anr.*” pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Panchkula to a Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jalandhar.

Heard.

It comes out that petitioner No.1 is doing a private job in Sector 34, Chandigarh. She has also taken a P.G. on rent at Mohali. It is also stated that she comes to Chandigarh daily from Jalandhar to attend her job. If it is so, she can go to Panchkula Courts also to attend the proceedings, which are not required to be personally attended on each and every date of hearing.

Therefore, there is no ground to transfer the aforesaid case from Panchkula Courts to Jalandhar Courts.

As such, the present petition is dismissed. Since, the main petition has been dismissed, therefore, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 07, 2018
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No