

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 601 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 22.3.2018

Shallu

..... Petitioner

Versus

Vijay Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. U.K. Kanwar, Advocate, for petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply filed in Court today and same is taken on record.

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition titled as *Vijay Kumar Versus Shallu*, i.e. HMA No. 27 of 2017, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Tarn Taran, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Fazilka.

The learned counsel for petitioner has relied upon medical certificate dated 10.6.2014 wherein MRIs of brain was done and some problem was detected. It is stated that due to said problem, she is unable to attend the Court at Tarn Taran.

Respondent, on the other hand, has not denied that in the year 2006, petitioner met with an accident, as a result of fall from train and received injuries.

TA No. 601 of 2017 (O/M)

In these circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, petition, i.e. HMA No. 27 of 2017, titled as *Vijay Kumar Versus Shallu*, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Tarn Taran, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned Additional District Judge-I, Fazilka, for disposal in accordance with law. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main petition has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

22.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No