

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-106 -2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.05.2018

Shimla

.....Petitioner

versus

Jaiveer Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Ankur Tyagi, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Parminder Singh, Advocate for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Reply on behalf of the respondent filed in Court is taken on record.

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Jaiveer Singh vs. Shimla wrongly mentioned as Sumit Kaushik* pending in the Court of learned District Judge (Family Court), Karnal to a Court of competent jurisdiction at District Sonapat.

Heard.

Perusal of the petition shows that certain allegations have been levelled which shows that the witnesses belong to the area of Karnal. Petitioner states that one criminal case is already pending at Sonapat Courts. Both Karnal and Sonapat are on National Highway and not at much distance and there is direct rail and bus service between these two districts.

Convenience of the witnesses is also to be seen.

Therefore, there is no ground to transfer the case from Karnal Courts to Sonapat Courts. However, in order to ensure that the petitioner does not suffer financially in pursuing her case at Karnal, it is ordered that whenever petitioner appears in person before Karnal Court, she will be paid Rs.2000/- as expenses by the respondent-husband.

With the above noted observations, the present petition stands disposed of.

15.05.2018
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No