

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No. 105-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.4.2018

Saroj Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

Mandeep

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Dheeraj Narula, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

As per office report, notice issued to respondent has been received back with the report '*served through father*'. But no representation has been made on behalf of respondent. Hence, proceeded against ex-parte.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. DMC/8/2018 titled as **“Mandeep versus Saroj”** pending in the Court of Principal District Judge, Family Court, Hisar to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that two cases between the parties are already pending at Sirsa Courts and it is difficult for her to travel from Sirsa to pursue her case at Hisar Courts.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner; the fact that none has appeared to oppose the petition and in order to ensure that all the cases should be tried at one place, petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. DMC/8/2018 titled as “Mandeep versus Saroj” pending in the Court of Principal District Judge, Family Court, Hisar is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Sirsa, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to any other Court of competent jurisdiction for trial.

In view of above, petition stands allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.4.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No