

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 580 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 25.1.2018

Pooja Petitioner

Versus

Rohan Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Alisha Soni, Advocate, for petitioner.
None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that respondent husband has filed a petition under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Rohan Versus Pooja*, in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Karnal. Petitioner has two minor children and cannot go to Karnal Courts. Petitioner seeks transfer of said petition to Kaithal Courts.

Respondent has not appeared to oppose the instant petition.

As such, petition filed by respondent husband under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Rohan Versus Pooja*, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Karnal, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Kaithal, who may try the case himself or entrust it to Court of competent jurisdiction.

Petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

25.1.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No