

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No. 104-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 18.04.2018**

Nisha Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

Devender Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has been duly served. But no representation has been made on his behalf. Hence, proceeded against ex-parte.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. DMC/733/2017 titled as **“Devender Kumar versus Nisha Rani”** pending in the Court of learned District Judge, (Family Court) Bhiwani to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a divorce petition is pending between the parties at Chandigarh Courts and it is difficult for her to pursue her case at Bhiwani Courts. Hence, it is requested that both cases should be tried at one place.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner; the fact that no one is present on behalf of respondent to oppose the petition and to ensure that all the cases between the parties be tried at one place, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. DMC/733/2017 titled as **“Devender Kumar versus Nisha Rani”** pending in the Court of learned District Judge, (Family Court) Bhiwani is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Chandigarh, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to any other Court of competent jurisdiction for trial.

In view of above, petition stands allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.04.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No