

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

T.A. No. 103-2018

Date of decision : 18.04.2018

Sudesh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Rampal

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.K.Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has been duly served. But no representation has been made on his behalf. Hence, proceeded against ex-parte.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. HMA/1012/2017 titled as **“Rampal versus Sudesh”** pending in the Court of learned District Judge, (Family Court) Karnal to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has got two minor children. She is residing at Village Morkhi which is between Safidon and Jind and the distance from her village Morkhi to Jind is approximately 20 KMs. Whereas for Karnal, she has to first go to Assandh and then Karnal. It is difficult for her to pursue her case at Karnal Courts.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner and the fact that no one is present on behalf of respondent to oppose the petition, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. HMA/1012/2017 titled as **“Rampal versus Sudesh”** pending in the Court of learned District Judge, (Family Court) Karnal is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Patiala, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to any other Court of competent jurisdiction for trial.

In view of above, petition stands allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.04.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No