

101 + 204
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-5590-CII-2015 in/and
TA No. 560 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 19.3.2018

Priyanka Petitioner

Versus

Suraj Mal Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Govind Rana, Advocate, for petitioner.

Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-5590-CII-2018

Reply filed on behalf of respondent is taken on record.

Application is disposed of.

Main case

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, bearing HMA No. 40 of 2017, titled as *Suraj Mal Versus Priyanka*, from the Court of learned District Judge, Sirsa, to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Gurugram. It is stated that two cases are already pending between the parties at Gurugram Courts. The learned counsel for petitioner further contends that respondent is working as a Quality Inspector in Maruti Suzuki, Gurugram and, therefore, case should be transferred to Gurugram Courts.

TA No. 560 of 2017 (O/M)

On the other hand, the learned counsel for respondent has stated that whenever he goes to Gurugram, petitioner creates trouble.

I am of the view that if some trouble is created by petitioner, it is for the concerned Court to take care of the same. No serious law and order problem has been alleged. Considering that two cases are already pending at Gurugram Courts and respondent is also working at Gurugram, petition filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, bearing HMA No. 40 of 2017, titled as *Suraj Mal Versus Priyanka*, is withdrawn from the Court of learned District Judge, Sirsa, and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Gurugram, who may retain the case with him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main petition has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No