

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 558 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 20.3.2018

Pooja

..... Petitioner

Versus

Monu

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Surender Saini, Advocate, for petitioner.

None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply has again not been filed. Therefore, this Court proceeds to decide present petition.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that respondent has filed a petition under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Monu Versus Pooja*, pending in the Court of learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bahadurgarh. It is contended that in the marriage certificate, address of respondent is that of village Bhainswal Kalan, District Sonapat, whereas for the filing of present petition, he has shown his address of a village in Tehsil Bahadurgarh. Petitioner also belongs to District Sonapat.

TA No. 558 of 2017 (O/M)

Considering the facts and circumstances and difficulty expressed by petitioner, petition filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Monu Versus Pooja*, pending in the Court of learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bahadurgarh, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Sonapat, who may retain the case with him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main petition has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No