

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-542-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.02.2018

Anika Malik

.....Petitioner

versus

Puneet Malik

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Vimal Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Madan Pal, Advocate for the respondent

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Reply on behalf of the respondent filed in Court is taken on record.

Heard.

It comes out that four cases between the parties i.e. one under Section 125 Cr.P.C., one under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, one under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 and one FIR case are already pending at Yamuna Nagar Courts at Jagadhari. Therefore, it is proper that the petition bearing no.HMA/360/2017 (Annexure P5) filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Puneet Malik vs. Anika Malik* should be transferred from the Court of District Judge, Family Court, Karnal to the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhari.

As such, petition bearing no.HMA/360/2017 (Annexure P5) filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Puneet Malik vs. Anika Malik* is withdrawn from the Court of District

Judge, Family Court, Karnal and is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhari.

Present petition stands allowed accordingly.

06.02.2018

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No