

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-538-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.04.2018

Ritika

.....Petitioner

versus

Naveen Sharma

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Ms.Anupama Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.P.K.Dwivedi, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Rahul Jaswal, Advocate for the respondent

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition bearing HMA No.48 of 2017 filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Naveen Sharma vs. Ritika Sharma* pending before the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jalandhar to a Court of competent jurisdiction at District Amritsar.

It comes out that after the filing of the petition under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 petitioner wife has also filed an application under the the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act 2005 at Amritsar Court. Petitioner states that she is unable to pursue her case at Jalandhar Courts.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner, petition bearing HMA No.48 of 2017 filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Naveen Sharma vs. Ritika Sharma* pending

before the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jalandhar is withdrawn from the said Court and is transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Amritsar who may retain the same himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

Present petition stands allowed accordingly.

16.04.2018

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No