

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No. 534-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision 1.2.2018**

Amita	 Petitioner
Versus	
Sunny Kumar	 Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Jasmine, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

As per office report, previous summons issued to the respondent has been received back with the report "*refused to accept*". It shows that respondent has nothing to argue in the matter.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908 for transfer of the petition titled as '*Sunny Kumar vs. Amita*' filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division)/Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Phagwara to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Pathankot.

It is stated that the petitioner has got three years old son and she is unable to pursue the matter from Pathankot to Phagwara. It is further submitted that one application filed under Section 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code is already pending at Pathankot Courts. Therefore, it is requested that all the cases should be tried at one place.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petition titled as '*Sunny Kumar vs. Amita*' filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division)/Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Phagwara is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Pathankot.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.2.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No