

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.524 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 12, 2018**

Bhawna Sethi @ Shelly

..... Petitioner

Versus

Amit Sethi

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, titled as “*Amit Sethi vs Bhawna Sethi @ Shelly*”, pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Hoshiarpur to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Yamunanagar at Jagadhri.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that three other cases between the parties are already pending at Yamunanagar Courts and the petitioner is unable to pursue her case at Hoshiarpur Courts.

Considering the fact that three other cases between the parties are already pending at Yamunanagar Courts and also considering the distance from Yamunanagar to Hoshiarpur, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, titled as “*Amit Sethi vs Bhawna Sethi*”

@ *Shelly*", pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Hoshiarpur, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Yamunanagar at Jagadhri.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 12, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No