

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

T.A. No.510 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 17, 2018

Kamaljit Kaur and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Shyam Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Sullar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, Advocate for respondent No.3.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

In terms of the last order, the status report has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record. In the said report, it is reported that the case is fixed at the stage of evidence.

Petitioners seek transfer of a petition filed under Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, titled as ***“Kamaljit Kaur and others vs Shyam Singh and others”***, pending in the Court of learned Addl. District Judge, Chandigarh to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Ambala.

Heard.

It comes out that on account of the office of the Insurance Company being at Chandigarh, the Chandigarh Court has jurisdiction to try the case. The claimant(s) in the said case has chosen the jurisdiction of one Court and when the case is fixed for evidence, it is not deemed proper to transfer the case from Chandigarh Courts to Ambala Courts, merely because all the applicants are residents of Ambala.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 17, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No