

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-502-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.03.2018

Sunita

.....Petitioner

versus

Balwant Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Devender Singh, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Saurabh Dalal, Advocate for the respondents

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that earlier, divorce petition pending between the petitioner and her husband was transferred by this Court vide order dated 31.1.2017 passed in TA-696-2016 from Jhajjar Court to Gurgaon Court. Now father-in-law of the petitioner has filed a suit for restraining the defendants from entering the house of the plaintiff.

Learned counsel for the respondent has pointed out that husband and father-in-law of the plaintiff are living in a separate house in the same village. Learned counsel for the petitioner has given an undertaking before this Court that the petitioner does not want to enter house of her father-in-law without his prior permission.

It being so, suit for prohibitory injunction will become infructuous in view of the undertaking given. The trial Court is directed to take note of the undertaking given by the petitioner and dispose of the case

against her accordingly, though the case against remaining defendants may continue.

It being so, the present transfer petition has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

08.03.2018
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No