

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.494 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 13, 2018**

Rajveer Kaur **..... Petitioner**

Versus

Bhavneet Singh **..... Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh -I, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “***Bhavneet Singh vs Rajveer Kaur***”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. District Judge, Fazilka, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Bathinda.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that three other cases between the parties are pending at Bathinda Courts.

Learned counsel for the respondent has countered by stating that all the said cases were filed after the filing of the divorce petition.

On behalf of the petitioner, it is further contended that she has got minor son and cannot go to Fazilka Courts to pursue her case.

Considering that three other litigation are pending between the parties at Bathinda Court, I am of the view that all the cases pending between the parties should be tried and decided at one place. Therefore, the

petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Bhavneet Singh vs Rajveer Kaur”***, pending in the Court of learned Addl. District Judge, Fazilka, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Bathinda, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

The respondent can always make a request to the concerned Courts that all the cases pending between the parties should be fixed on the same date. In case, such request is made, the same shall be favourably considered. As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 13, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No