

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.488 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 06, 2018**

Mamta Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sanjeev Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Surinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Sanjiv Kumar vs Mamta Rani*”, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Ludhiana, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Jalandhar.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is doing job at Jalandhar. She has got three minor children.

It is also stated that two other cases between the parties are pending at District Courts, Jalandhar.

Considering the aforesaid fact, I am of the view that all the cases pending between the parties should be decided at one place. The petitioner has to look after three minor children also. Therefore, the petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Sanjiv*

Kumar vs Mamta Rani”, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Ludhiana, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Jalandhar, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 06, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No