

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.486 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 06, 2018**

Gagandeep Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Amrinder Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

An adjournment slip has been circulated on behalf of learned counsel for the respondent on the ground that he is out of station. The said adjournment is declined.

Heard.

The case of the respondent has been considered.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Amrinder Singh vs Gagandeep Kaur*”, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Bathinda, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Faridkot.

It is stated that two other cases between the parties are pending at District Courts, Faridkot, out of which in one case, the respondent is putting in appearance.

Considering the aforesaid fact, I am of the view that all the cases between the parties should be decided at one place. Therefore, the

petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Amrinder Singh vs Gagandeep Kaur”***, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Bathinda, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Faridkot, who may retain the file himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 06, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No