

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-465-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.05.2018

Madhu

.....Petitioner

versus

Lalit Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Karan Garg, Advocate for
Mr.S.S.Kharb, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Vishal Malik, Advocate for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition bearing HMA Case No.270/2016 filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Lalit Kumar vs. Madhu* pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge (Family Court), Ambala to a Court of competent jurisdiction at District Karnal.

It is stated that two cases between the partes are already pending at Karnal Courts. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that there is a distance of 80 kms. between Ambala and Karnal.

I am of the view that the divorce petition was filed in the year 2016 and now there must be substantial progress in the trial. Both Ambala and Karnal are on the national highway, where frequent rail and bus service is available. Witnesses also belong to Ambala. Therefore, at this stage, there is no apparent ground to transfer the trial from Ambala Courts to Karnal Courts.

Resultantly, the present transfer petition stands dismissed.

However, it is directed that for the each date when the petitioner appears in person, the respondent will pay Rs.1000/- to the petitioner as travelling expenses.

Since the main case has been decided, therefore, the pending CM, if any, also stands disposed of.

30.05.2018

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No