

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 460 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 20.3.2018

Sweety

..... Petitioner

Versus

Parveen Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate, for petitioner.

Mr. Suneel Ranga, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-.

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner who belongs to village Khairpur, P.O. Kanonda, Tehsil Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, seeks transfer of petition filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, bearing No. 494 of 2016, tilted as *Parveen Kumar Versus Sweety*, pending in the Court of the learned Additional District Judge, Panipat, to a competent Court of jurisdiction at Jhajjar.

Heard.

It comes out that three cases between the parties are already pending at Bahadurgarh Sub Divisional Courts.

Considering that three cases are already pending between the parties in District Jhajjar, it is desirable that all the cases are tried in one district. Petition filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, bearing No. 494 of 2016, tilted as *Parveen Kumar Versus Sweety* pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Panipat, is withdrawn from the said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge,

TA No. 460 of 2017 (O/M)

-2-

Jhajjar, who may retain the case with him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main petition has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No