

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 457 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.3.2018

Anju Bhardwaj

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ashwani Sharma

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Salina Chalana, Advocate, for,
Mr. Gourav Goel, Advocate, for petitioner.

Ms. Gursharan Mann, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Report received that mediation has failed.

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Ashwani Kumar Versus Anju Bhardwaj*, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Karnal, to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rajpura (exercising power of District Judge under the Hindu Marriage Act).

The perusal of file shows that it is claimed that an application filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C. is pending at Rajpura Courts. The learned counsel for respondent has informed this Court that petitioner is working in Fortis Hospital, Mohali.

In the reply, respondent has taken the plea that when respondent went to Rajpura Courts, he was threatened with dire consequences. It is highly unsafe for him to visit Rajpura Courts.

TA No. 457 of 2017 (O/M)

Considering the facts and circumstances, plea of respondent and considering that petitioner is working in Fortis Hospital, Mohali, and that she is already travelling to some distance to Rajpura to pursue the petition, petition filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Ashwani Kumar Versus Anju Bhardwaj*, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Karnal, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Ambala, who may retain the case with him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is disposed of. Since the main petition is disposed of, therefore, pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No